

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,875	02/27/2004	Louis S. Osika	18032 USA	9151
27081 75	90 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
ONE SEAGAT TOLEDO, OH	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,875	OSIKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this commun		with the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M y will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 February 2004		
,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	,—	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practi			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	documents have been received.		
_ , , ,	documents have been received ir	n Application No	
	of the priority documents have be		Stage
•	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies r	not received.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948) Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	∩_152\
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice 6) Other:		J-1J2)

Application/Control Number: 10/789,875

**Art Unit: 1772** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slat et al. (US 2003/0091769).

Slat discloses a molded plastic container or preform having a wall with at least one matrix resin layer and at least one intermediate resin layer, said intermediate resin being blended with an additive, said wall have at least one localized portion of predetermined geometry that is thicker than surrounding portions of said wall and within which said additive is discernable (36 from Fig. 3), said container or preform including a closed end, a sidewall extending from said closed end, a finish portion terminating said sidewall, an enlarged portion formed in at least one of said closed end, said sidewall, and said finish portion, wherein a barrier layer including an additive therein in at least one of visible light and ultraviolet light (paragraphs [0044] – [0047]), wherein said additive is more visibly pronounced in said enlarged portion (since the additive is in the barrier layers and the thickness of the barrier layers can vary at any given point, paragraph [0026]) (applies to instant claims 1-2 and 5-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,875 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slat et al. (US 2003/0091769) in view of Brady (WO 98/04464).

Slat fails to disclose wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall.

Brady discloses wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall (abstract and Fig. 1) in a container for the purpose of providing a more striking appearance and to convey information (applies to instant claims 3-4 and 10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall in the container or preform of Slat in order to provide a more striking appearance and to convey information as taught or suggested by Brady.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

Archarle My

MCM June 27, 2005